

---

## Urban Food Webs: Predators, Prey, and the People Who Feed Them

A prevailing image of the city is of the steel and concrete downtown skyline. The more common experience of urban residents, however, is a place of irrigated and fertilized green spaces, such as yards, gardens, and parks, surrounding homes and businesses where people commonly feed birds, squirrels, and other wildlife. Within these highly human-modified environments, researchers are becoming increasingly curious about how fundamental ecological phenomena play out, such as the feeding relationships among species. While food webs have long provided a tool for organizing information about feeding relationships

and energy flows through natural habitats, they have not been applied to urban ecosystems until recently (Faeth et al. 2005).

At a symposium presented at the 2006 Ecological Society of America meeting, 10 speakers assembled to present and discuss “The Urban Food Web: How Humans Alter the State and Interactions of Trophic Dynamics,” in a symposium organized by Paige Warren, Chris Tripler, Chris Lepczyk, and Jason Walker. A key feature of urban environments, as described in the symposium, is that human influence may be en-




Fig. 1. A generalized model of trophic dynamics in urban vs. non-urban terrestrial systems (modified from Faeth et al. 2005). Humans alter both systems, but in urban environments, human influences are more profound and include (a) enhancement of basal resources like water and fertilizer, and (b) direct control of plant species diversity and primary productivity, leading to strong bottom-up controls. Humans also (c) directly subsidize resources for herbivores and predators either through intentional feeding or unintended consequences of other activities (e.g., garbage, landscape plantings), leading to enhanced top-down control for some taxa and reduced top-down controls on others (see Fig. 2).





Fig. 2. Putative food web for coastal sage scrub fragments in San Diego, California, from work by Doug Bolger, Jay Diffendorfer, Eric Walters, Michael Anguiano, Dana Morin, and Michael Patten. In smaller, more urbanized fragments raptors increase and snakes decrease, yielding no net change in bird reproductive success. They find a strong connection of raptors and snakes to birds but weak or no connections between mesocarnivores and birds.


countered in any of the pathways of urban food webs, from bottom to top (Fig. 1). Within this system, humans alter and enhance resources and directly influence vegetative biodiversity and primary productivity. Similarly, at higher trophic levels, humans, both directly and indirectly, extirpate some consumers and predators and introduce other, often exotic ones. This fundamental reshaping of the food web in cities leads

to altered trophic dynamics, which speakers at the symposium say are often not predictable even with detailed knowledge of species distributions.

Urban systems would appear at first to be driven by bottom-up dynamics, Stanley Faeth stated. His experiments, however, showed that urban arthropod populations on a common native plant species were

**a**

**24 Taxa; Linkage Density = 3.67**

**b**

**11 Taxa; Linkage Density = 0.82**

Fig. 3. Putative food webs for (a) Keg Creek, a non-urban reference stream in the Georgia Piedmont, and (b) a stream flowing through a neighborhood in Peachtree City, Georgia, with a mean property value of \$388,900. The webs were constructed from data on species occurrence rather than gut content analysis (Overmyer et al. 2005). Species were assigned to functional feeding groups and position in the food web based on information in the literature (J. L. Meyer and S. L. Eggert, *personal communication*).




Fig. 4. Aerial photo of an abandoned village site in the Aleutian Islands region. The enhanced productivity of the village site produces the vivid green area. Rounded dimples within the site are house pits, each marking where a semi-subterranean house once stood. Photo courtesy of Herbert Marschner.

also strongly influenced by top-down control by bird predators, even in unmanaged desert remnant sites. Doug Bolger and his colleagues observed that top-down controls of predator–prey dynamics in San Diego, California, were not the ones that had been previously predicted (Fig. 2). Specifically, avian nesting success in coastal scrub remnants did not decrease with increasing urbanization, as expected. If anything, some birds performed better in the smaller, more urbanized fragments. Other presenters described similar surprises in empirical work ranging from modern cities and suburbs to ancient, abandoned village sites in the remote Aleutian Island region.

### Profound influences of humans

To say that humans influence urban food webs is nearly tautological. According to several speakers, however, the profound extent of human influence in urban environments has not yet been fully appreciated by ecologists. Jason Walker showed that plant assemblages in urban sites in Phoenix, Arizona, could not be predicted by any of the factors that affect plant communities in surrounding desert, such as soil nutrients and elevation. Instead, factors such as land use and landscaping design (e.g., mesic vs. xeric) were better predictors of plant assemblages within the urban sys-



Fig. 5. Elevated productivity and human subsidies produced elevated densities of many animal species. In squirrels, high densities lead to low wariness of humans and high levels of aggression. The photo shows squirrels in Lafayette Park, Washington, D.C., where squirrels reach the “highest densities known in the world” according to Tommy Parker, with  $>40$  squirrels/ha.

tem. Judy Meyer noted that in urban streams the effects of human development are consistent enough to identify an “urban stream syndrome.” She found that this syndrome is associated with reductions in food web complexity in urban streams, an effect that appears to be greater in neighborhoods of higher property values (Fig. 3). Covariation in aspects of food web structure and human socioeconomic characteristics was raised by many speakers. Paige Warren and Chris Lepczyk focused on bird feeding by humans, an activity that, as other speakers showed, has broad ramifications throughout the food web. Lepczyk’s landowner survey research showed that the majority of people across a rural-to-urban gradient feed birds, whereas Warren found that neighborhoods vary in the propensity of people to feed birds based on lifestyle characteristics. Furthermore, both Lepczyk and Warren’s

surveys found that people intentionally plant vegetation to attract birds and wildlife, including fruit plants, which can both directly and indirectly influence both primary production and consumers. The most striking example of human influence, however, was Nancy Huntly’s work on abandoned village sites in the Aleutian Islands of Alaska. She and her colleagues have found consistent differences in plant and animal diversity and community composition within vs. outside of village sites, differences that have remained stable after  $>1000$  years of abandonment (Fig. 4).

### A growing picture of urban food webs

Consistent patterns of human influence emerged in terrestrial systems from the disparate studies presented. Strong bottom-up influences were common across

the studies; humans commonly subsidize resources, generating elevated productivity. Eyal Shochat presented evidence that the elevated productivity is associated with higher competition for resources, and ultimately, reduced species diversity, in contemporary urban habitats. In the case of aquatic systems, however, basal resources in urban streams are frequently being impoverished. Predator diversity is often but not always decreased in both terrestrial and aquatic systems, and middle trophic level organisms may experience reduced predation. However, as Doug Bolger and colleagues showed, reticulate food web structure and predator compensation make top-down and bottom-up controls difficult to predict (Fig. 2).

Increased population density and altered behavior of urban-adapted animals complicates the effects of shifting productivity and predator communities. Density-dependent behaviors influence predator–prey dynamics, and altered foraging efficiencies may lead to elevated levels of competition. Tommy Parker described the “urban wildlife syndrome,” a suite of behavioral characteristics exhibited by many animal species that colonize urban–suburban areas. These characteristics include reduced wariness of humans and increased aggressiveness, both of which are highly density dependent in the gray squirrels he studied (Fig. 5). Human subsidies for squirrels might alter competitive interactions and vulnerabilities to predation. This behavioral syndrome may act as a filter, said Shochat, excluding native species that cannot adapt to the more competitive urban communities. Several questions remain. Does reduced predation risk mediate the decreases in wariness and increases in aggression and foraging efficiency? Or are the altered behaviors simply density-dependent consequences of the increased populations produced by human resources subsidies? Parker noted that several aspects of the urban wildlife syndrome are correlated with resource availability at both habitat and landscape scales. Regardless, it is clear that changes in animal behavior lead to complex responses to altered resource levels.

Differences among studies provide tantalizing questions to be addressed. For example, productivity–diversity relationships differed among the studies, with lower diversity in highly productive habitats within Phoenix and Baltimore, but higher diversity on the highly productive abandoned villages in the Aleutians. Such contradictory findings reflect the broader debate over diversity–productivity relationships in ecology.

### Importance of understanding urban food webs

All speakers agreed that altered trophic dynamics in urban environments hold important consequences for conservation of biodiversity, human health, and our broader ecological understanding. Focusing attention on food webs and trophic dynamics can provide insights for conservation biology and environmental management. For example, management to reduce feral cat predation may not have as large an effect as was previously thought on the stability of populations of coastal sage scrub birds (Fig. 2). Furthermore, Meyer noted that urban fishing is an important form of subsistence for many city residents, placing humans squarely within the urban food web. There are immediate human health consequences, she pointed out, for understanding the flow of pollutants through the simplified food webs of urban streams. Finally, Jonathan Chase’s concluding remarks laid out opportunities for enhancing basic understanding of trophic dynamics through the study of urban food webs. Topics raised by the speakers, such as predator compensation, diversity–productivity relationships, and effects of predator diversity on food web dynamics, are generally unresolved issues in ecology. Urban environments offer ecologists places where human influences have produced new combinations of species interactions, changes in food web connectivity, and extreme values of productivity, both high and low. The consequences of these alterations are not simple and require an expansion of empirical and theoretical studies to evaluate the impacts and roles of humans in urban food webs.

---

## Literature cited

Faeth, S. H., P. S. Warren, E. Shochat, and W. A. Marussich. 2005. Trophic dynamics in urban communities. *BioScience* **55**:399–407.

Overmyer, J. P., R. Noblet, and K. L. Armbrust. 2005. Impacts of lawn-care pesticides on aquatic ecosystems in relation to property value. *Environmental Pollution* **137**:263–272.

Paige Warren (corresponding author)  
Department of Natural Resources Conservation  
University of Massachusetts,  
Amherst, MA 01003-9285  
(413) 545 0061  
Fax: 413 545 4358  
E-mail: pswarren@forwild.umass.edu  
and  
Global Institute of Sustainability  
Arizona State University  
Tempe, AZ 85287-3211

Chris Tripler  
School of Arts and Sciences  
Endicott College  
Beverly, MA 01915  
and  
Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Box AB,  
Millbrook, NY 12545

Douglas Bolger  
Department of Environmental Studies  
Dartmouth College  
Hanover, NH 03755

Stanley Faeth  
School of Life Sciences  
Arizona State University,  
Tempe, AZ 85287

Nancy Huntly  
Department of Biological Sciences  
Idaho State University  
Pocatello, ID 83209

Christopher Lepczyk  
Department of Forest Ecology and Management  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Madison, WI 53706

Judith Meyer  
Institute of Ecology  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602-2602

Thomas Parker  
USDA Forest Service, Region 9  
Milwaukee, WI 53202  
and  
School of Natural Resources, Fisheries and Wildlife  
University of Missouri  
Columbia, MO 65211-7240

Eyal Shochat  
Global Institute of Sustainability  
Arizona State University  
Tempe, AZ 85287-3211

Jason Walker  
School of Life Sciences  
Arizona State University  
Tempe, AZ 85287

## Summary of the Closing

### Plenary Lunch

Annual Meetings of the Ecological Society of America commence with the Opening Plenary Session, Welcome Mixer, and the Scientific Plenary and Awards Ceremony. These events set the stage for the coming week by introducing the meeting's theme, familiarizing participants with the local culture, reuniting old friends and colleagues, and honoring recent contributions to the field. However, the oral and poster presentations, symposia, workshops, scientific field trips, and special sessions are so numerous that it is impossible for participants to leave the Annual Meeting with a summary of everything that occurred. In an effort to summarize the week's events and formally conclude the meeting, the Closing Plenary Lunch was added to the schedule in 2003. The Closing Plenary Lunch features a panel of prominent senior ecologists who provide their insights into what the meeting has meant to them and what we may expect from the future. Questions and comments from participants are encouraged. Participants dine at small tables, with prominent ecologists and ESA leadership serving as table hosts. This is an especially excellent opportunity for graduate students to meet prominent ecologists and ESA leadership on a more intimate level than might otherwise be possible.

The 2006 Closing Plenary Lunch was held at 11:30 am on Friday, 11 August, and featured a panel of five prominent ecologists: Joan Ehrenfeld, Lars Hedin, Alan Covich, Svata Louda, and Steward Pick-

ett. (Osvaldo Sala was also scheduled to speak, but had to leave the meeting early due to increased security measures at U.S. airports). Many of the remarks focused on the meeting theme, "Icons and Upstarts in Ecology," and the discussions were especially insightful because the panel comprised experienced, senior ecologists who were qualified to comment on changes that they observed in the field. Steward Pickett noted that the theme was unusual because it was provocative, and people actually paid attention to it. Indeed, people did pay attention, and the Closing Plenary featured extended discussions around the definitions of "icon" and "upstart." Joan Ehrenfeld cited the Oxford English Dictionary, which defines an icon as "a person or thing regarded as a representative symbol, especially of a culture or movement; a person, institution, etc., considered worthy of admiration or respect," and an upstart as "one who has newly or suddenly risen in position or importance; a newcomer in respect of rank or consequence; a parvenu." Steward Pickett stressed that icons and upstarts should not be used to refer to people, because this reinforces the myth that science is done by individuals. Thus, there seemed broad agreement that icons are the ideas, concepts, and approaches that represent the field of ecology, while upstarts are new ideas, concepts, and approaches that offer an alternative and challenge the current icons. Upstarts can eventually become icons themselves, and this cycle of icons and upstarts is the mechanism that drives scientific progress.

---

Upstart ideas, concepts, and approaches are risky, however, and this unfortunately restricts their prevalence in ecology. Svata Louda pointed out that a scientist has two options upon finding unexpected results. The first option is to somehow make the results agree with existing icons (e.g., discard data or recast the question), or the results can be used to challenge the assumptions of the icons. This can lead to the creation of an upstart, and Louda suggested that this type of risky science be more encouraged at ESA, because it leads to new questions and hypotheses and has the potential for a major advance.

The 2006 Annual Meeting demonstrated a substantial increase in the sophistication of questions and approaches, as noted by Lars Hedin. Questions are being asked, not in isolation but in a broader perspective, and the sessions featured a broad diversity of approaches and a good linking of theory and data. We are also seeing a coming of age of new tools. Stable isotope techniques, for example, were formerly found only in stable isotope sessions, but we are now seeing these techniques being used in sessions focused on a range of topics.

ESA is approaching its 100th Annual Meeting, and there were concerns and recommendations raised by

both panel members and participants in the ensuing discussion. Kerry Woods noted that there are many constituencies within ESA that are not represented in the Annual Meeting sessions, ESA journals, or ESA administration, all of which are dominated by large research institutions. For example, David Ehrenfeld suggested that natural history is receiving less attention than in the past, both at the Annual Meeting as well as in ESA journals. However, Program Chair Kiyoko Miyanishi pointed out that the content of both the Annual Meeting and ESA journals are based on submissions from the Society's constituency, and encouraged ESA members to submit session proposals or manuscripts. There was also discussion on how to better link ecological research with allied fields, such as the geosciences. Lars Hedin pointed out that many of the topics addressed at ESA are also being addressed by groups like the American Geophysical Union. An up and coming challenge for ESA will be to reach out and encourage interdisciplinary collaborations with these allied fields.

Sean T. Michaletz  
Department of Biological Sciences  
University of Calgary  
Calgary, Canada