

Flood Effect Mitigation

on traditional floodproofing Relying solely measures such as dikes, levees, and dams leaves cities vulnerable to catastrophic failures when flooding strikes. Mitigating flood effects in addition to these measures increases resilience, especially when best practices are applied.



Flash flooding near Marana, AZ.





Animas River flooding Flooding in Jamestown, CO. in Williamsburg, NM.

Review of Best Practices

Best practices for flood effect mitigation were determined through literature and case study review with emphasis on the 2013 floods in Boulder, CO and the green infrastructure utilized in Tuscon, AZ. Case studies and strategies from across America and the globe were assessed. FEMA standards and scholarly recommended flood mitigation practices were additionally used to assess effectiveness.

How can flood effects be best mitigated in the Southwest? Michaela Jones (ISPI Intern), José Lobo (Mentor, School of Sustainability), Kelli Larson (Mentor, ASU DECISION CENTER DCDC), Hannah Oliver (Mentor, Sonoran Institute)

Comparative Case Study

In September 2013, Boulder County received 12.3" of precipitation over 3 days, resulting in a flood that far surpassed even the all-time monthly precipitation record. The contrast between flood preparation and mitigation methods of the city of Boulder and the town of Lyons demonstrates the importance of flood effect mitigation and the dangers of relying on floodproofing.

Boulder

Philosophy of developing in sync with nature since 1900	Dev env
Strong communication and	Una
emergency response system	resc
Flood infrastructure	Infra
integrated into city and built	floo
from natural environment	

A year after the floods, some Lyons families still lived in a FEMA emergency shelter. By contrast, due to Boulder's investment in flood effect mitigation some utilities were able to remain operational during the flood.



Lyons

velopment at expense of vironment

able to communicate or cue affected residents rastructure limited to odproofing

Green infrastructure including pervious surfaces and retention basins, has been successful in Tucson, AZ. Other methods, including zoning, construction requirements, and participation in the (program) have been shown to drastically reduce flood losses.







In order to best utilize these methods, studies specific to each municipality are essential. The role of climate change needs further assessment, and recent flooding in the Southwest provides additional case studies.

The author would like to extend sincere thanks to her mentors who provided invaluable assistance. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. SES-0951366 Decision Center for a Desert City II: Urban Climate Adaptation (DCDC). Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendation expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation (NSF).



Recommendations

Flood diversion near Boulder, CO.

Runoff capture basin in Tucson, AZ. **Further Study**

Acknowledgement