Residential Vegetation Changes and Associated Multi-scalar Drivers in Central Arizona-Phoenix, 2017-2021

School of Geographical Sciences and Urban Planning Arizona State University

Qinnan Zhu¹, Kelli L. Larson²

¹School of Geographical Sciences and Urban Planning, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ; ²School of Geographical Sciences and Urban Planning, School of Sustainability, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ.



Background

- Historically, mesic lawns have led to increasing environmental concerns, including high water demands and excessive inputs of fertilizers and pesticides.
- Promotion of water conservation in the arid southwestern U.S. is shifting residential landscapes toward climate-adapted xeric yards, which in Phoenix typically include gravel groundcover and low water-use vegetation.
- Wildlife-friendly gardening—i.e., planting trees and native plants to provide habitat for birds, pollinators, and other wildlife—is gaining popularity among residents.
- Residential yards that reduce water demands and other inputs while also providing habitat for wildlife provide more sustainable alternatives to traditional lawns.

However, only a few studies have explicitly investigated parcel-scale vegetation change in residential contexts, and the extent of different vegetation changes and associated drivers are still unclear.


Research Questions

- When considering **urban greening**: to what extent have residents made different types of vegetation changes in their yards, including *trees and desert plants*, over the past five years?
- How are different attitudinal, institutional, and structural drivers associated with changes of different vegetation in the recent past?

Data Collection in Study Neighborhoods

This study focuses on data from 2021 Phoenix Area Social Surveys (PASS). We also present a time-series analysis based on the survey in 2017.

12 surveyed neighborhoods from PASS 2017 and 2021: Metropolitan Phoenix, AZ




Acknowledgement: This study is fund by 2020 Central Arizona-Phoenix Long-Term Ecological Research Program (CAP LTER) grad grant. CAP LTER is supported by the National Science Foundation under grant number DEB-1832016.


Results

Vegetation Changes (Trends of Urban Greening) in Single-family Parcels Surveyed in 2017 and 2021

Note: survey participants were asked about changes "over the past 5 years."




- The percentage of respondents only adding desert plants also increased 10-fold compared to 2017 period.
- Results suggest a
 potential net increase of
 trees and desert plants.



Urban Greening in 12 PASS Neighborhoods (2021): Percentage of Respondents who Added Trees and Desert Plants

- Percentages of respondents planting trees vary from 29% (V14) to 67% (X17).
- Wealth neighborhoods close to desert preserves (e.g., W15, AA9, U21) have higher percentage of respondents adding trees..



 Percentages of respondents adding desert plants vary from 18% (IBW) to 80% (W15). Precentages of tree additions

00% - 29%

0 30% - 39%

0 40% - 49%

50% - 59%

60% - 69%

---- Main Roads

Salt River

Desert Parks

 Neighborhoods with a higher percentage of tree additions are likely to have higher percentage of desert plant additions as well.

➤ Binary Regressions: drivers of residents' additions of trees and desert plants in 2021

	Trees Addition (R ² = 0.233)		Desert Plant Addition (R ² = 0.246)	
Independent Variables	Beta	SE	Beta	SE
Attitudinal: Environmental Worl	ldviews			
Ecological worldviews	-0.19	0.15	-0.09	0.15
Desert attitudes	0.06	0.15	0.32*	0.16
Attitudinal: Yard Priorities				
Look beautiful	0.54***	0.16	0.32*	0.16
Provide shading/cooling	0.18	0.15	0.11	0.16
Support wildlife	0.02	0.17	0.33*	0.16
Conserve water	0.06	0.18	0.06	0.18
Require low maintenance	-0.39*	0.17	-0.14	0.17
Institutional				
Presence of HOA [^]	-0.57	0.37	-0.66	0.35
Neighborhoods form Phoenix [^]	-0.80*	0.33	-0.41	0.34
Structural: Environmental/Parce	el .			
Lot size	0.39	0.22	-0.05	0.13
Proximity to desert preserve [^]	-0.02	0.36	-0.68	0.37
Structural: Social/Demographics	5			
Age of respondent	-0.63***	0.19	0.19	0.20
Years in the current address	0.08	0.17	-0.41*	0.19
Gender (Male) [^]	-0.50	0.30	0.00	0.30
Race (White) [^]	-0.67	0.42	-0.46	0.42
Income 2020	-0.15	0.19	0.14	0.19
Education level	0.08	0.17	-0.06	0.18
Home ownership [^]	-1.47*	0.64	-0.71	0.57

- ^ Binary variables. Independent variables excluding binary variables were standardized as Z-scores significant at p<0.05*, p<0.01 levels**, p<0.001 levels***.
- Aesthetics is a crucial landscaping priority which motivates residents to add both trees and desert plants.
- Younger tenants not prioritizing yard maintenance are more likely to plant trees, while newcomers who have positive desert attitudes and value wildlife tend to add desert plants.
- Residents from neighborhoods outside the city of Phoenix have higher likelihood of planting trees.

Conclusions

- Reported vegetation changes in residential yards in metro Phoenix show a sustainable trend of increasing trees and desert plantings.
- The percentages of respondents who added trees and desert **plants vary from** different surveyed neighborhoods.
- Different attitudinal (environmental worldviews and yard priorities), institutional, and social structural drivers affect residents' decisions to adopt desired urban greening in residential landscapes.