# Best Suited Low Impact Development Features for the City of Phoenix Landscape Danielle Jordan – Intern, Maggie Messerschmidt – The Nature Conservancy, Christine DeMyers – DCDC Mentor Internship for Science-Practice Integration School of Geographical Sciences and Urban Planning School of Sustainability





#### Background

Although Phoenix, AZ is located in an arid environment, it suffers from stormwater runoff and flooding during extreme storm events because of its urban infrastructure. Low impact development (LID) features can be used to maximize infiltration and retention rates of stormwater runoff and flooding. The goal of this study is to identify LID features and locate areas in Phoenix to place those features to maximize these rates and mitigate flooding and runoff.

#### **Research Questions**

1. What low impact development features are suitable to mitigate runoff and flooding for the City of Phoenix?

2. Where can we use low impact development features to maximize infiltration and retention rates in order to reduce runoff and flooding in the City of Phoenix?



#### Methods

Literature review to determined LID features appropriate for Phoenix, AZ.

Researched news stories from major flooding/runoff events to determine 4 locations.

Determined flood zone for locations with Flood Control District of Maricopa County Floodplain maps.

Determined the zoning code for each location from the City of Phoenix website.

Determined which LID features are appropriate for locations and outline those areas.

### Results

	Infiltration or	
LID Type	<b>Retention - I or R</b>	Scale
Permeable (porous) pavement	1	Local
Green roofs	I and R	Local
Downspout Disconnection	1	Local
Rainwater Harvest System	1	Local
Planters/tree box filter	I and R	Local
Vegetated swale/buffer	I and R	Intermediate
Infiltration Trench/Basin	1	Intermediate
Rain gardens	I and R	Intermediate
Green Street (Curb Cuts, etc.)	1	Intermediate
Bioretention basins	I and R	Intermediate
Detention Pond (Dry)	Ι	Catchment
Constructed wetland	I and R	Catchment



*Fig. 2* Monterey Park located on the corner of E. Oak St and N. 3<sup>rd</sup> St, Zoning = R1-6 Acres = 12.93 Flood zone = X



*Fig. 4* Neighborhood located on N. and E. Alvarado Road, Zoning = R1-10 HP Flood zone = X



**Arizona State University** 

. 1 Shows the LID feature type identified for the Phoenix ndscape through research, the characteristics column identifies nether the features is good for infiltration, retention or both, d the scale of technique is looking at the area of land the ature will be best suited for.

example:

Local = buildings, parking lots, etc.

Intermediate = Residential block, schools, streets, etc. Catchment = residential subdivision, entire runoff catchment, etc.

> Red Lining – Potential implementation areas Flood Zone X = Moderate flood hazard or 0.2% annual chance flood



Fig. 3 Emerson Elementary School located at 915 E Palm Ln, Phoenix Zoning = R-3 HP Acres = 71.85 Flood zone = X



*Fig. 5* Grand Avenue at the intersection of W. McDowell Rd. and N. 19<sup>th</sup> Ave. Flood zone = X

included

The City of Phoenix has a Tree and Shade Master Plan with the goal to achieve an average of 25% shade canopy coverage for the entire city by 2030. In the future, this research could be used as a way to add to the overall tree canopy.

Currently, The Nature Conservancy is in collaboration with the City of Phoenix and other organizations with regards to a LID Catchment Study. This study has the potential to be used in that study and furthering research.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. SES-1462086, DMUU: DCDC III: Transformational Solutions for Urban Water Sustainability Transitions in the Colorado River Basin. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendation expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation (NSF).

The Nature Conservancy

## Conclusion

- Many of the LID features identified in *Fig. 1* were viable options in all 4 locations, including
  - Porous Pavement
  - Planters/tree box filters
  - Vegetated swale/buffer
  - Infiltrated trench/basin
  - Curb Cuts
  - Bioretention basins
- Some of the LID features were not viable options
- for any of the 4 locations mainly due to the area
- of land needed to implement the feature, these
  - Detention Pond (Dry)
  - Constructed wetland
- Overall, many of the LID features are suitable for many different areas and zoning codes with a flood zone X status. Further research can be done to determine actual infiltration and retention rates for the locations based on flood zone, slope, soil type, etc.

## **Collaborative Potential**



## Acknowledgments